



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

alized type of selachian from Japan recently announced by Garman under the name *Chlamydoselachus* is but one of the many signs that our knowledge of pelagic and abyssal life is still very incomplete.

Prof. D. J. Cunningham of the Royal college of surgeons, Ireland, contributes an essay upon the anatomy of certain marsupials and upon the mammalian *pes* (vol. v., 192 p., 13 pl.). The first part of this paper is descriptive, and devoted to *Thylacine*, *Cuscus*, and *Phascogale*; but its preparation led to a general investigation of the foot of mammals, involving the dissection of forty-five species distributed through all the orders. Professor Cunningham's conclusions as to the relations of the intrinsic muscles and nerves of the *pes* in different genera are of great interest, but, being merely incidental to the work of the Challenger, must be passed by with simple mention.

Vol. ii. is chiefly devoted to the report on birds, which is the eighth in the zoological series. This is a compound paper in thirteen parts, prepared by the standard British authorities, *Sclater*, *Salvin*, *Saunders*, *Forbes*, *Tweeddale*, and *Garrod*; one paper being also supplied by *Salvadori* of Turin, and one by *Finsch* of Bremen. The report on the anatomy of the petrels (*Tubinares*), by the late W. A. Forbes (vol. iv., 64 p., 7 pl.), is important as throwing much new light on the classification of these remarkable birds. It is based upon collections from the stores of the zoological society and the U. S. national museum as well as of the Challenger. The affinities of the petrels are shown to be with the *Steganopoda* and the storks and herons, rather than with the gulls. The most extensive anatomical monograph is that of the penguins, by Professor Morrison Watson of Owens college, Manchester, of which the first part has been printed (vol. vii., 244 p., 19 pl.). The publication of the second part will complete the ornithological work of the expedition. This essay is full of interest to the general reader as well as to the ornithologist; since, although structural minutiae are fully discussed, each detail is brightened by some allusion to function, origin, or habit. The conclusions of Professor Watson, concerning the affinities of the *Spheniscidae* to each other and to other birds, are worthy of much fuller discussion. Many and appreciative allusions are made to Dr. Elliott Coues' monograph of the *Spheniscidae*, which is frequently quoted.

Professor W. Kitchen Parker's report on the development of the green turtle (vol. i., 58 p.,

13 pl.) is an exceedingly weighty contribution to morphology, and concludes with a page of most suggestive generalizations upon the phylogeny of the *Chelonia* and *Reptilia*. This investigation was based upon a series of embryos obtained at Ascension Island, in compliance with Professor Parker's particular request, and is one of the most important of the side issues of the expedition.

Dr. Albert Günther's report on the shore fishes (vol. i., 82 p., 32 pl.) contains the identifications of fourteen hundred specimens, representing five hundred and twenty species, of which ninety-four were new. It consists of a series of faunal and regional lists, some of which, particularly those for remote oceanic islands, cover fields hitherto unexplored by ichthyologists; such as St. Paul's Rocks, Ascension, Kerguelen Island, and Juan Fernandez, and also Magellan Straits and the Arafura Sea. The systematic arrangement is all that can be desired: it is to be regretted, however, that the author has been satisfied to publish such brief and cursory diagnoses, and that he gives no tables of proportional measurements, thus causing serious embarrassment to students who have no access to his types. The report upon deep-sea fishes by the same author, at one time announced for vol. iii., is now so far down upon the official list of 'memoirs to follow in subsequent years,' that it is not likely to come to view for a long time. This is all the more to be regretted, since the fishes of the abyssal region are more peculiar, and more generally instructive, than perhaps the members of any other group. Much unstudied material in Italy, France, Austria, and America, is being held until these collections, now eight years in the author's hands, can be made known to the public. The preliminary descriptions published in 1878 are so meagre as to be nearly useless to any one except their author; and the type specimens themselves will, of course, be inaccessible for comparison until the final report is in type. Dr. Günther's success in re-organizing the natural history section of the British museum has been very great, yet it seems unfortunate that administrative work should so entirely monopolize the time of so eminent an ichthyologist.

RAIN IN BELGIUM.

La pluie en Belgique. Par A. LANCASTER. Bruxelles, Hayez, 1884. [Extract from the *Annuaire de l'Observatoire royal de Bruxelles*.] 113 p. 16°.

THE completion of a fifty-years series of uninterrupted observations of rainfall at Brussels

is taken by Lancaster as a fitting occasion for the preparation of a neat compendium of rain-records for all Belgium. The longer series, besides Brussels, are forty-three years at Ghent, thirty-five at Liège, twenty-three for Ostende, and twenty for Les Waleffes. The entire list, prepared for the end of 1882, contains one hundred and twenty-seven stations, with an average record of four and a half years; but of these, thirty-eight are for only one year, and sixty are for two years or less. At present the observatory receives the results attained at over two hundred stations. The chief general conclusions, which, unfortunately, are not shown either by map or diagram, are as follows: along the littoral lowlands an annual fall of about 650 millimetres, rising to a maximum in the highlands of the Ardennes (altitude about 400 metres) of from 900 to 1,100 millimetres. For 1882, rain and altitude of station are thus related:—

Below 10 m.	825 mm.	200 to 400 m.	1,220 mm.
10 to 100 "	875 "	400 to 700 "	1,375 "
100 to 200 "	1,020 "		

According to seasons, the ratios are, winter, 100; spring, 95; summer, 129; autumn, 119. Along the coast the maximum is in autumn: in the interior, it is in summer. Heavy rains occur chiefly in the summer. In Brussels, since 1833, there have been sixty-nine records of 25 to 50 millimetres of rain in a day, thirty-four of these being in June, July, and August. A general increase in the annual rainfall is suspected since 1865, the evidence being as follows:—

Ghent	1838-64, 753 mm.	Ghent	1865-82, 981 mm.
Brussels	1833-64, 700 "	Brussels	1865-82, 778 "
Liège	1847-64, 743 "	Liège	1865-82, 796 "

The sun-spot cycle does not find strong confirmation from the records at Brussels.

Minimum.		Maximum.		Difference.
1833 646 mm.	1837 714 mm.	68 mm.
1843 736 "	1848 750 "	14 "
1856 670 "	1860 695 "	25 "
1867 682 "	1870 737 "	55 "
1878 818 "	1882	4 824 "	6 "

The little volume is chiefly valuable as bringing the older records up to time, and preparing for future work with the greatly increased number of stations of the past few years.

A NEW ASTRONOMICAL JOURNAL.

Bulletin astronomique, publié sous les auspices de l'Observatoire de Paris. Par M. F. TISSERAND. Tome i., No. i., Janvier. Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1884. 64 p. 8°.

THE great number of new observatories in France now beginning active work has rendered a publication of this character a necessary adjunct of the labors of the astronomers of that country; and it will embrace two distinct parts, the first of which will contain the late observations made at the French observatories, ephemerides of planets and comets, and memoirs and notices relating to various topics in theoretical and practical astronomy. The second part is to be devoted to notices of current astronomical news, and a *résumé* of the chief periodical publications and of memoirs. This latter feature is a most fortunate one, and will make the *Bulletin* a desideratum in all observatories and scientific libraries. The special periodicals embraced in the *revue* of the January *Bulletin* are the *Monthly notices* of the Royal astronomical society, *The observatory*, the *Sidereal messenger*, the *American journal of science*, *Copernicus*, and the *Astronomische nachrichten*. The first part of the same number contains a brief paper by Tisserand, on the theory of the motion of the small planet Pallas, followed by observations of the satellites of Mars and Neptune by the Henrys, of the comet Pons-Brooks by Bigourdan and Perigaud, — all these at the Paris observatory, — and observations of the latter object by Trépied, at Alger; ephemerides of the small planets Mnemosyne, Diana, Alceme, and Parthenope; and is concluded with the first part of a paper by Schulhof and Bossert, on the late return of the comet of 1812. Appended to the January *Bulletin*, under the head of *Variétés*, are, a paper on *les phénomènes crépusculaires*, by Radau; the comets and planets of 1883, by Bigourdan; and the new observatory of Rio de la Plata.

It would be a matter of the greatest interest to those engaged in new and original research, if a department relating to unpublished investigations could be added to the *Bulletin*. Brief notes in such a department, relating to work already in hand, its progress at various stages, and to projected research, the material for which may be in process of accumulation only, would be likely to lead to a more effective and happy state of co-operation among astronomers and observatories than now exists.

The *Bulletin astronomique* is published from the press of Gauthier-Villars, and is gotten up in the attractive style, and with that good typo-